

**Washington State HILAB**  
**November 17, 2005**

# **Evaluation Criteria for Health Information Infrastructure Solutions**

**William A. Yasnoff, MD, PhD, FACMI**  
**Managing Partner, NHII Advisors**



# Outline

- I. **NHII Requirements**
- II. **Assumptions**
- III. **Framework for Evaluation**
  - A. **Requirements**
  - B. **Feasibility**
  - C. **Financing**
- IV. **Summary**

# **I. NHII Requirements**

- Overall: “Anytime, anywhere health care information and decision support”
- Immediate availability of complete medical record (compiled from all sources) to any point-of-care
- Enable up-to-date decision support at any point of care
- Enable selective reporting (e.g. for public health)
- Enable use of tools to facilitate delivery of care (e.g. e-prescribing)
- Allow patients to control access to their information

## II. Assumptions

- All stakeholders represented
- Commitment to improving care & reducing costs
- Willingness to collaborate
- Willingness to share data
- Commitment to resolving issues through discussion & compromise
- Tolerance for reasonable risk
- Access to information
- Access to expertise
- Willingness to pay reasonable fees for benefits delivered

# III. Framework for Evaluation

## A. Are requirements met?

- What are the requirements?
  - Specific
  - Understandable

## B. Is it feasible?

- Technical: Can it be built?
- User Acceptance: Will it be used?

## C. Financing

- Initial cost
- Sustainability

# Evaluation Template - draft 1

- Requirements

- Feasibility

- Financing

# A. Implementation Requirements

## 1. Privacy

- Patient trust in system
- Patient control of records

## 2. Transition from paper records

- Most providers do not have EHRs
- Financial Incentives needed

## 3. Access to information

## 4. Incremental

- Start with small initial project
- Grow into comprehensive system

## 5. Universal availability

# A.1. Privacy

- **Need-to-know access must be assured**
- **Patients must trust the system to protect their information**
- **Patients need to control access to their information (direct or default)**



# Evaluation Template - draft 2

## ■ Requirements

- Privacy
  - Need-to-know access
  - Patient trust
  - Patient access control

## ■ Feasibility

## ■ Financing

## **A.2. Transition from paper records**

- **Most records are paper at present**
- **Goal: conversion to electronic records**
- **Requirement: outpatient providers must have EHR systems**
  - **Small percentage now**
  - **Need financial incentives**
- **Requirement: availability of paper records during transition to electronic**

# Evaluation Template - draft 3

## ■ Requirements

- Privacy
  - Need-to-know access
  - Patient trust
  - Patient access control
- Transition from paper records
  - Availability of paper records
  - Incentives for clinician EHRs

## ■ Feasibility

## ■ Financing

## **A.3. Access to Information**

- **Requirement: health information must be accessible at point of care**
  - **Typical solution: secure web portal**
  - **Requirement: Internet access**
- **How does web portal information integrate with clinician EHR?**
  - **Requirement: Integration of all patient information**
  - **Requirement: Standard encoding of all patient information for decision support**
- **Access to population information**
  - **Public health reporting**
  - **Medical research**

# Evaluation Template - draft 4

## ■ Requirements

- Privacy
  - Need-to-know access
  - Patient trust
  - Patient access control
- Transition from paper records
  - Availability of paper records
  - Incentives for clinician EHRs
- Access to information
  - Access at point-of-care
  - Integration of all patient info
  - Standard encoding of all patient info
  - Public health reporting
  - Availability of info for research

## ■ Feasibility

## ■ Financing

# A.4. Incremental Steps

- Possible initial projects
  - Laboratory result portal
    - Information already electronic
    - Can reduce duplicate labs
  - Medication portal
    - Information already electronic
    - Can reduce medication errors (including hospitalizations)
  - But then what???
- Requirements
  - Initial small project
  - Implementation plan for expansion

# Evaluation Template - draft 5

## ■ Requirements

- Privacy
  - Need-to-know access
  - Patient trust
  - Patient access control
- Transition from paper records
  - Availability of paper records
  - Incentives for clinician EHRs
- Access to information
  - Access at point-of-care
  - Integration of all patient info
  - Standard encoding of all patient info
  - Public health reporting
  - Availability of info for research
- Incremental Steps
  - Initial small project
  - Expandable

## ■ Feasibility

## ■ Financing

## **A.5. Universal Availability**

- **Availability to all patients**
  - Initial project will not include entire population
  - Plan must encompass eventual participation by everyone
  - Requirement: allow universal participation
- **Voluntary**
  - Participation should be a choice



# Evaluation Template - draft 6

## ■ Requirements

- Privacy
  - Need-to-know access
  - Patient trust
  - Patient access control
- Transition from paper records
  - Availability of paper records
  - Incentives for clinician EHRs
- Access to information
  - Access at point-of-care
  - Integration of all patient info
  - Standard encoding of all patient info
  - Public health reporting
  - Availability of info for research
- Incremental Steps
  - Initial small project
  - Expandable
- Universal Availability
  - Availability to all
  - Voluntary participation

## ■ Feasibility

## ■ Financing

# B. Feasibility

- **User Acceptance**
  - Will it be used?
  - Does it provide net benefits? When?
- **Stakeholder Acceptance**
  - Absence of negative impact
  - Alignment with stakeholder needs
- **Technical**
  - Can it be done? (new or existing technology?)
  - Has it been done?
  - How long will it take?

# B.1. User Acceptance

- **Easy to use**
  - **Understandable**
  - **Can be customized**
- **Provides clear & immediate net benefits**
- **Compatible with existing workflow**
  - **Reasonable response time**

# Evaluation Template - draft 7

## ■ Requirements

- Privacy
  - Need-to-know access
  - Patient trust
  - Patient access control
- Transition from paper records
  - Availability of paper records
  - Incentives for clinician EHRs
- Access to information
  - Access at point-of-care
  - Integration of all patient info
  - Standard encoding of all patient info
  - Public health reporting
  - Availability of info for research
- Incremental Steps
  - Initial small project
  - Expandable
- Universal Availability
  - Availability to all
  - Voluntary participation

## ■ Feasibility

- User Acceptance
  - Easy to use
  - Clear & immediate benefits
  - Compatible with workflow

## ■ Financing

## B.2. Stakeholder Acceptance

- Provides benefits with real value to all stakeholders (ROI)
  - No negative impact
  - Supports goals

# Evaluation Template - draft 8

## ■ Requirements

- Privacy
  - Need-to-know access
  - Patient trust
  - Patient access control
- Transition from paper records
  - Availability of paper records
  - Incentives for clinician EHRs
- Access to information
  - Access at point-of-care
  - Integration of all patient info
  - Standard encoding of all patient info
  - Public health reporting
  - Availability of info for research
- Incremental Steps
  - Initial small project
  - Expandable
- Universal Availability
  - Availability to all
  - Voluntary participation

## ■ Feasibility

- User Acceptance
  - Easy to use
  - Clear & immediate benefits
  - Compatible with workflow
- Stakeholder acceptance
  - Provides real value (ROI)

## ■ Financing

## B.3. Technical Feasibility

- Simple to implement
- Previous successful implementation
  - Buy vs. build
- Rapid deployment
- Simple to maintain

# Evaluation Template - draft 9

## ■ Requirements

- Privacy
  - Need-to-know access
  - Patient trust
  - Patient access control
- Transition from paper records
  - Availability of paper records
  - Incentives for clinician EHRs
- Access to information
  - Access at point-of-care
  - Integration of all patient info
  - Standard encoding of all patient info
  - Public health reporting
  - Availability of info for research
- Incremental Steps
  - Initial small project
  - Expandable
- Universal Availability
  - Availability to all
  - Voluntary participation

## ■ Feasibility

- User Acceptance
  - Easy to use
  - Clear & immediate benefits
  - Compatible with workflow
- Stakeholder acceptance
  - Provides real value (ROI)
- Technical
  - Simple to implement
  - Done successfully before
  - Rapid deployment
  - Simple to maintain

## ■ Financing



# C. Financing

- **Building the system**
  - Initial cost
  - Who will pay?
  - Reliability of cost estimates
- **Sustaining the system**
  - Aligning payments with benefits
  - Likelihood of ongoing commitment
  - Potential for discord

# C.1. Building the System

- Initial cost
- Sources of funding
- Reliability of cost estimates

# Evaluation Template - draft 10

## ■ Requirements

- Privacy
  - Need-to-know access
  - Patient trust
  - Patient access control
- Transition from paper records
  - Availability of paper records
  - Incentives for clinician EHRs
- Access to information
  - Access at point-of-care
  - Integration of all patient info
  - Standard encoding of all patient info
  - Public health reporting
  - Availability of info for research
- Incremental Steps
  - Initial small project
  - Expandable to include all patient information
- Universal Availability
  - Availability to all
  - Voluntary participation

## ■ Feasibility

- User Acceptance
  - Easy to use
  - Clear & immediate benefits
  - Compatible with workflow
- Stakeholder acceptance
  - Provides real value (ROI)
- Technical
  - Simple to implement
  - Done successfully before
  - Rapid deployment
  - Simple to maintain

## ■ Financing

- Building the System
  - Initial cost
  - Availability of funds
  - Reliability of cost estimates

## C.2. Sustaining the System

- Ease of allocating costs
- Likelihood of continuing financial support
- Maintenance & operational costs
- Stability of initial financial model

# Evaluation Template - draft 10

## ■ Requirements

- Privacy
  - Need-to-know access
  - Patient trust
  - Patient access control
- Transition from paper records
  - Availability of paper records
  - Incentives for clinician EHRs
- Access to information
  - Access at point-of-care
  - Integration of all patient info
  - Standard encoding of all patient info
  - Public health reporting
  - Availability of info for research
- Incremental Steps
  - Initial small project
  - Expandable
- Universal Availability
  - Availability to all
  - Voluntary participation

## ■ Feasibility

- User Acceptance
  - Easy to use
  - Clear & immediate benefits
  - Compatible with workflow
- Stakeholder acceptance
  - Provides real value (ROI)
- Technical
  - Simple to implement
  - Done successfully before
  - Rapid deployment
  - Simple to maintain

## ■ Financing

- Building the System
  - Initial cost
  - Availability of funds
  - Reliability of cost estimates
- Sustaining the System
  - Ease of allocating costs
  - Likelihood of continuing financial support
  - Maintenance & operations costs
  - Stability of financial model

# Evaluation Template - final draft

## ■ Requirements

- Privacy
  - Need-to-know access
  - Patient trust
  - Patient access control
- Transition from paper records
  - Availability of paper records
  - Incentives for clinician EHRs
- Access to information
  - Access at point-of-care
  - Integration of all patient info
  - Standard encoding of all patient info
  - Public health reporting
  - Availability of info for research & quality improvement & population management
  - Decision support for clinicians, consumers, and other users
- Incremental Steps
  - Initial small project
  - Expandable
- Universal Availability
  - Availability to all
  - Voluntary participation
- Facilitates communication among users

## ■ Feasibility

- User Acceptance
  - Easy to use
  - Clear & immediate benefits
  - Compatible with workflow & business systems
- Stakeholder acceptance
  - Provides real value (ROI)
- Technical
  - Simple to implement
  - Done successfully before
  - Rapid deployment
  - Simple to maintain

## ■ Financing

- Building the System
  - Initial cost
  - Availability of funds
  - Reliability of cost estimates
- Sustaining the System
  - Ease/fairness of allocating costs
  - Likelihood of continuing financial support
  - Maintenance & operations costs - adapt to new technology
  - Stability of financial model

# IV. Summary

- **Evaluation Framework for Assessing Implementation Options**
  - **Requirements**
  - **Feasibility**
  - **Financing**
- **Needs Additional Discussion and Review**
- **Useful to Compare Options**
- **Basis for Implementation Decisions**

# Questions?

**William A. Yasnoff, MD, PhD, FACMI**  
**[william.yasnoff@nhiiadvisors.com](mailto:william.yasnoff@nhiiadvisors.com)**  
**703/527-5678**